	Case 2:23-cv-00238-DJC-CSK Docume	ent 35	Filed 02/03/25	Page 1 of 2		
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	MAURICE DIAUNDRA ROGERS,	No	. 2:23-cv-0238 D	JC CSK P		
12	Petitioner,					
13	v.	<u>OF</u>	<u>RDER</u>			
14	JEFF LYNCH,					
15	Respondent.					
16		_				
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed an application for a writ of					
18	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United					
19	States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.					
20	On May 28, 2024, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations					
21	herein which were served on all parties, and which contained notice to all parties that					
22	any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen					
23	days. On July 2, 2024, Petitioner was granted a 90 day extension of time to file					
24	objections. Neither party filed objections to the findings and recommendations.					
25	The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United					
26	States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The Magistrate Judge's conclusions of law					
27	are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi	Valley	Unified School Di	st., 708 F.2d 452, 454		

(9th Cir. 1983). The Court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and

 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied; and 3. The Court declines to issue the certificate of appealability references. 	2				
Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 31) are adopted in for the findings and recommendations (ECF No. 31) are adopted in for the findings and recommendations (ECF No. 31) are adopted in form of the finding and the finding	dge's				
1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 31) are adopted in for a writ of habeas corpus is denied; and 3. The Court declines to issue the certificate of appealability reference U.S.C. § 2253 as Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial constitutional right, see 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); and 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 31, 2025 Hon. Daniel Galabretta UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUD	analysis.				
2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied; and 3. The Court declines to issue the certificate of appealability reference 7. U.S.C. § 2253 as Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial constitutional right, see 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); and 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 31, 2025 Hon. Daniel Jalabretta UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUD	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:				
3. The Court declines to issue the certificate of appealability reference U.S.C. § 2253 as Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial constitutional right, see 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); and 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 31, 2025 Hon. Daniel Galabretta UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUD	1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 31) are adopted in full;				
U.S.C. § 2253 as Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial constitutional right, see 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); and 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 31, 2025 Hon. Daniel Galabretta UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUD	2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied; and				
constitutional right, see 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); and 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 31, 2025 Hon. Daniel Jalabretta UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUD	3. The Court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28				
4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 31, 2025 Hon. Daniel Jalabretta UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUD	U.S.C. § 2253 as Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a				
IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 31, 2025 Hon. Daniel Jalabretta UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUD	constitutional right, see 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); and				
Dated: January 31, 2025 Hon. Daniel Galabretta UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUD					
Dated: January 31, 2025 Hon. Daniel Galabretta UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUD					
Hon. Daniel Jo alabretta UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUD					
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUD	1				
14	GE				
15					
16 /roge0238.801hc					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22 23					
24					
24 25					
26					
27					
28					